Message Text

α	γ	VT.	171	FN	m	T A 1	Г

PAGE 01 USBERL 00602 261608Z

67

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CAB-02 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00

DOTE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 FAA-00 SS-15 NSC-05 L-02 H-02

/053 W

----- 113128

R 261625Z MAR 75

FM USMISSION USBERLIN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 704

AMEMBASSY BONN

INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN UNN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

CINCEUR

CINCUSAFE

CONFIDENTIAL USBERLIN 602

CINCEUR FOR POLAD AND OPS CINCUSAFE FOR POLAD AND OPS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PGOV, EAIR, WB, US, UK, FR, UR

SUBJECT: BERLIN AVIATION: POTENTIAL POLITICAL

PROBLEMS OF ROUTE DIVISION

REF: STATE 65474

- 1. SUMMARY: WE ARE NOT OVERLY CONCERNED ABOUT PROBLEMS BRITISH HAVE FLAGGED ON POTENTIAL NON-USE OF CORRIDORS BY PAN AM OR BA, BUT AGREE CONTINGENCY DISCUSSIONS WITH PAN AM AND BA ON THEIR ABILITY TO COVER FOR EACH OTHER COULD BE USEFUL. END SUMMARY.
- 2. WE SHARE DEPARTMENT'S VIEW THAT ABSENCE OF REGULARLY SCHEDULED PAN AM FLIGHTS IN CENTRAL CORRIDOR WOULD BE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 00602 261608Z

UNLIKELY TO TEMPT SOVIETS INTO TRYING SALAMI TACTICS

ON CORRIDORS REGIME. BASIC SAFEGUARD REMAINS SOVIET AWARENESS THAT WE WOULD TREAT SUCH ATTEMPTS AS SERIOUS MATTER AFFECTING BASIC USG INTERESTS IN BERLIN.

3. ADDITIONALLY, PAN AM AND US FLAG CARRIER PRESENCE WILL BE MAINTAINED AT FAIRLY STEADY, THOUGH REDUCED, LEVEL IF PROPOSED ROUTE-SHARING SCHEME IS IMPLEMENTED. PAN AM'S SCHEDULED FLIGHTS TO AND FROM MUNICH, FRANKFURT, NUERNBERG, AND STUTTGART WOULD NORMALLY BE CARRIED OUT VIA SOUTH CORRIDOR, BUT IT IS FAIRLY STANDARD PRACTICE FOR PILOTS TO REQUEST AND ROUTINELY OBTAIN CLEARANCE TO DIVERT TO CENTRAL CORRIDOR FOR VARIOUS REASONS, INCLUDING WEATHER AND TRAFFIC CONGESTION. IN FIRST 23 DAYS OF MARCH, FOR EXAMPLE, 18 REGULARLY SCHEDULED PAN AM FLIGHTS WERE DIVERTED FROM SOUTH TO CENTRAL CORRIDOR, FURTHERMORE, US FLAG CORRIDORS SINCE THEIR DESTINATIONS ARE USUALLY WELL OUTSIDE FRG, AND TRAVEL VIA CENTRAL CORRIDOR REDUCES FLYING TIME AT UNECONOMICAL 10,000 FOOT LEVEL. IN DECEMBER 1974, FOR EXAMPLE, US CIVILIAN CHARTERS FLEW 48 FLIGHTS IN CENTRAL CORRIDOR AS OPPOSED TO 14 IN SOUTH CORRIDOR. WE BELIEVE PAN AM WOULD HAVE NO DIFFICULTY WITH INFORMALLY ESTABLISHING POLICY OF DIVERTING AT LEAST ONE SCHEDULED FLIGHT IN SOUTH CORRIDOR TO CENTRAL CORRIDOR EACH DAY, BUT THIS SEEMS HARDLY NECESSARY.

4. RE SECOND BRITISH CONCERN. WE DOUBT THAT ROUTE-DIVISION PLAN SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASES IGS VULNERABILITY TO SUBSTANTIAL DISRUPTION THROUGH STRIKE OR OTHER EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. (IN FACT, THERE HAS BEEN NO REALLY CRIPPLING STRIKE IN HISTORY OF IGS, AND AIRLINES AND THEIR PERSONNEL REMAIN AWARE OF NEED, FOR POLITICAL REASONS. TO EXEMPT IGS FROM STRIKE ACTIONS AND HAVE BEEN SYMPATHETIC TO PAST APPEALS FROM GOVERNMENTS.) WERE ONE AIRLINE TO BE STRUCK OR OTHERWISE DISABLED, HOWEVER, ROUTE DIVISION COULD RESULT IN SITUATION WHERE SEVERAL LARGE CITIES WERE DEPRIVED OF SCHEDULE AIR SERVICE. SO LONG AS PAN AM AND BA MAINTAIN THEIR PLANS TO AVOID CUTTING BACK ON NUMBER OF PLANES AND FLIGHT CREWS DEDICATED TO IGS AND EFFECT THEIR SAVINGS ESSENTIALLY FROM REDUCTION IN GROUP SUPPORT FACILITIES, TICKET COUNTERS, ETC. IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE CONFIDENTIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 00602 261608Z

FOR EITHER AIRLINE TO SHIFT SCHEDULES ON EMERGENCY BASIS TO PROVIDE SOME DEGREE OF COVERAGE TO AFFECTED ROUTES. WE WOULD AGREE THAT IT WOULD BE PRUDENT TO DISCUSS THIS CONTINGENCY REQUIREMENT WITH BOTH PAN AM AND BA. IF DEPARTMENT AGREES, WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO SOUND OUT PAN AM LOCALLY ON FEASIBILITY OF DEVELOPING SUCH CONTINGENCY PLANS, BUT MAJOR DISCUSSION WOULD PROBABLY BEST BE CARRIED OUT BY CAA'S. OUR UNDERSTANDING HERE IS THAT PAN AM WOULD

BE MORE THAN WILLING TO FILL IN FOR BA, AND THAT BA, WHILE
POSSESSING LESSER RESOURCES, WOULD ALSO MAKE GOOD EFFORT
AT COVERING FOR PAN AM.SELIGMANN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: AVIATION AGREEMENTS, AIR ROUTES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 26 MAR 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975 USBERI 00602

Document Number: 1975USBERL00602 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750106-0278

From: USBERLIN Handling Restrictions: n/a Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750312/aaaaakih.tel Line Count: 118 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EB Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 STATE 65474 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 MAY 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 MAY 2003 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <27 MAY 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: BERLIN AVIATION: POTENTIAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS OF ROUTE DIVISION

TAGS: PGOV, EAIR, WB, US, UK, FR, UR, PANAM To: STATE BONN

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006